

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,880	10/06/2003	David Delgado	14099/YOD ITWO:0068	3839
7	590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder			KERNS, KEVIN P	
FLETCHER Y P.O. Box 6922	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX	77269-2289		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$UU_{1}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,880	DELGADO, DAVID	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif of the provision of the provisi	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 (2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		·
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Pority documents have been Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/679,880 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-27 and 35-42, drawn to welding implements, classified in class
     219, subclasses 75 and 137.62.
  - II. Claims 28-34, drawn to a method of manufacturing a welding implement, classified in class 29, subclass 428.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The

inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method of Invention II can be used to make products that are materially different from Invention I. For example, the method of Invention II can be used to make tube and spring assemblies for use as shock absorbers, heat exchangers etc.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,880

Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

If applicant elects Group I, applicant must additionally elect the following:

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

la. Claims 1-17 and 35-42, drawn to welding implements that include one or

more biasing members (coils) and a cooling fluid tube.

lb. Claims 18-27, drawn to a welding implement that includes a tripod support

system.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/679,880

Art Unit: 1725

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,880

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Kevin Lens. 5/15/05 Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

Page 5

**≮**ρ**≮** kpk May 15, 2005